## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-10 were presented for examination, are pending and are rejected.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

## The 35 U.S.C. § 112 Rejections

Claim 9 is rejected as being indefinite.

Claim 9 has been canceled. Therefore the rejection should be withdrawn.

## The 35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejections

Claims 1, 3-5, 7 and 8 are rejected as being anticipated by Fye.

The Examiner states that claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. All of the limitations of claim 6 have been included in claim 1. There are no intervening claims. Claims 3-5, 7 and 8 depend from claim 1. Therefore the rejection should be withdrawn.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 11 is allowed.

Claims 2 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations

of the base claim and any intervening claims. As noted above, the limitations of claim 6

have been placed into claim 1 and therefore, claims 1, 3-5, 7 and 8 should be allowable.

The limitations of claim 1 have been placed into claim 2. Therefore the

objection to claim 2 should be withdrawn.

New Claims

Claims 12-16 have been added. These claims depend from claim 2 and

correspond exactly to claims 3-5, 7 and 8, respectively. There is no new matter added or

any material that would necessitate a new search.

Conclusions

It is submitted that this application is in condition for allowance based on

claims 1-5, 7, 8 and 11-16 in view of the amendments thereto and the foregoing

comments.

If any impediments remain to prompt allowance of the case, please contact

the undersigned at 808-875-0012.

Respectfully submitted,

P. Wooldudge

John P. Wooldridge

Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 38,725

Dated: July 3, 2006

-8-